

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                          | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO.   |  |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--|
| 09/994,195                               | 11/26/2001      | Thomas Reisinger     | GR 99 P 1912        | 8292               |  |
| 24131                                    | 7590 05/03/2005 |                      | EXAM                | EXAMINER           |  |
| LERNER AND GREENBERG, PA<br>P O BOX 2480 |                 |                      | ZIMMERMA            | ZIMMERMAN, BRIAN A |  |
| HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480                 |                 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER       |  |
|  |                 |                      | 2635                |                    |  |

DATE MAILED: 05/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action  | 09/994,195  | REISINGER ET AL.                                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Brian A Zimmerman   | 2635  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 12 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| . The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f  | ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI  | •   | O WITHIN TWO                           |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on<br>peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a<br>CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states<br>above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month-<br>pearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | and the corresponding amount of the fee<br>atutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) | on fee under 37<br>as set forth in (b) |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).                                 |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| AMENDMENTS   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.  ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in belonger.   | nsideration and/or search (see NO<br>w);  | TE below);  |  |  |  |  |  |
| appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1  |   | !   | (DTQ1 000)                             |  |  |  |  |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).  |   | , timely filed amendm                                 | ent canceling                          |  |  |  |  |
| For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:<br>Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13,16-19</u> .  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 3. Other:  | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper   | No(s)   | n                                      |  |  |  |  |
|  |   | Primary Examiner<br>Art Unit: 2635                    |  |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: using the system to provide for speeding up the process and guaranteeing a higher security against interception has not been previously considered..